1	BITTE R. THTETIS, ESQ.		
2	Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> MATTHEW E. COVELER, ESQ.		
3	Admitted pro hac vice		
	Admitted pro hac vice		
4	WEINSTEIN TIPPETTS & LITTLE LLP 7500 San Felipe, Suite 500		
5	Houston, Texas 77063		
6	1 tax (713) 211 0001		
7	david.tippetts@wtllaw.com		
8	MICHAEL E. STOBERSKI, ESQ.		
	OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY,		
9	ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue		
10	Las Vegas, Nevada 89129		
11	Tel. (702) 384-4012 Fax (702) 383-0701		
12	mstoberski@ocgas.com		
13	Attorneys for Defendant TRW Automotive U.S. LLC		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
15	* * * *		
16	NICOLE THOMPSON,)		
17		CASE NO. 2:09-cv-1375-JAD-PAL	
18	Plaintiff,)	TRW AUTOMOTIVE U.S. LLC'S	
19	vs.	NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF STATE COURT ANSWER TO AMENDED	
20	TRW AUTOMOTIVE U.S. LLC, et al.,	COMPLAINT [33]	
	Defendants.		
21			
22	In answer to the Amended Complaint for Damages (ECF No. 33), Defendant TRV		
23	Automotive U.S. LLC ("TRW") adopts by reference, pursuant to Rule 10(c) of the Federal Rule		
24	of Civil Procedure, TRW's state court answer to Pl	aintiffs' complaint. In support, TRW shows	
25	as follows:		
26			
27			
2.8			
	P a g e 1		

- 1. Plaintiff Nicole Thompson, along with her parents, filed their Original Complaint for Damages in Nevada state court on April 24, 2009. See Ex. A to Notice of Removal (ECF No. 1-2).
- 2. TRW timely answered the Complaint on July 27, 2009, while the case was still pending in state court. See Exhibit 1, TRW Automotive U.S. LLC's Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint. Autoliv Safety Technology, Inc. ("AST") removed the case to this Court on July 29, 2009. ECF No. 1. TRW made a timely jury demand on August 10, 2009. ECF No. 10.
- 3. On March 9, 2010, Plaintiffs amended their complaint. ECF No. 33. The purpose of the amendment was solely to substitute Autoliv ASP, Inc. ("ASP") in place of AST. See Stipulation and Order to Allow Plaintiffs to File Amended Complaint (ECF No. 31). Except for the substitution of ASP for AST, the Original and First Amended Complaints are identical. Compare ECF No. 33 with ECF No. 1-2. TRW did not file an answer to Plaintiffs' amended pleading, as the allegations were not changed or modified in any way.
- 4. However, to avoid any confusion, TRW re-files, with no changes, its original answer and asserts its original answer (including its defenses therein) in response to Plaintiff's amended complaint. TRW Automotive U.S. LLC's Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint is attached as Exhibit 1 and incorporated by reference pursuant to Rule 10(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

TRW requests Plaintiff take nothing by reason of her Amended Complaint; the Amended Complaint be dismissed with prejudice; and for such other and further relief to which TRW may be justly entitled.

26

27

28

1	DATED this 4th day of June, 2014.	
2		
3	By: /s/ David R. Tippetts	
4	MICHAEL E. STOBERSKI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 004762	
4	OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY	
5	Angulo & Stoberski	
6	9950 West Cheyenne Avenue	
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89129	
7	DAVID TIPPETTS, ESQ.	
8	Admitted pro hac vice	
9	MATTHEW E. COVELER, ESQ.	
9	Admitted pro hac vice	
10	BENJAMIN T. ZINNECKER, ESQ. Admitted pro hac vice	
1.1	WEINSTEIN TIPPETTS & LITTLE LLP	
11	7500 San Felipe, Suite 500	
12	Houston, Texas 77063	
13		
	Attorneys for TRW Automotive U.S. LLC	
14		
15	CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE	
16	I hereby certify that on this 4th day of June, 2014, I did serve, via the Court's CM/ECI	
17	7 System, a copy of the above and foregoing document on all counsel of record.	
18		
	/s/ David R. Tippetts	
19	David R. Tippetts	
20	AN EMPLOYEE OF	
21	WEINSTEIN TIPPETTS & LITTLE LLP	
22	4812-7912-4507, v. 2	
23	4012-7912-4507, V. 2	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Page | 3